

BEST DELEGATE RUBRIC

The following rubric is the one used by all committee directors and co-directors to determine the Best Delegate. Please review the rubric in order to understand the criteria that directors will follow.

Position Paper (Marks 1-5)

- Is the position paper well written?
- Was it turned in before the set deadline?
- Does the position paper demonstrate an accurate understanding on both the topic and the delegate's role in the committee?

Participation / Engagement (Marks 1-5)

- Does the delegate participate consistently in the debate (either moderated or unmoderated)?
- Are the delegate's comments, statements or questions well guided, on-topic, and of quality?
- Does the delegate make accurate statements that are logical, relevant, and supported by well defined sources?
- Does the delegate actively listen? Is the delegate actively engaged even when not speaking?

*Please note that directors and co-directors will value quality of participation over quantity

Quality of Debate (Marks 1-5)

- Does the delegate have a well established position?
- Are statements/questions well formulated, clear, and insightful?
- Does the delegate appropriately use parliamentary procedures?

Solutions and Cooperation (Marks 1-5)

- Does the delegate participate actively in the process of the working papers / resolutions?
- Are proposed solutions (during moderated caucus) according to UN ideals and values?
- Does the delegate work along with others and evaluate their own solutions?
- Does the delegate consider other perspectives and adapt to constructive criticism well?
- Does the delegate promote achieving consensus without deviating entirely from their position?

Responsibility / Respect (Marks 1-5)

- Does the delegate consistently arrive on time?
- Is the delegate well prepared?
- Does the delegate stay on task and focused throughout the conference?
- Does the delegate follow instructions respectfully?

TOTAL MARKS: _____ / 25